

## UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/207,161

LEGAL DEPARTMENT

3174 PORTER DRIVE

PALO ALTO CA 94304

12/07/98

HILLMAN

PF-0208US

HM12/1204

INCYTE PHARMACEUTICALS INC

**EXAMINER** 

CARLSON, K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1653

DATE MAILED:

12/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/207,161	HILLMAN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED November 24, 2000 (Paper #16) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.		
3.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);		
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
6. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
$8. \boxtimes$ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 11</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-20</u> .		
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.		
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
11. Other:		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation of "15 amino acids" would have to be addressed, the new claims would have to be rejected.

Continuation of 4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): if entered, the rejection under 35 USC 102(a) would have been overcome..

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants have not provided any new arguments against the rejections under 35 USC 101 and 112, as Applicants admit on page 4, para. 3. Applicants note that the Examiner has not addressed Applicants legal arguments regarding the guidlelines is correct. It is not the Examiner's place to criticize the guidlelines, but rather to support the guidelines provided as being consonant with the statutes. These legal arguments should be brought before the Commissioner, and are not on point regarding the rejection sat hand.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER